

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK: Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/415,540 10/08/99 HAWKINS E, PF-0148-2-DI **EXAMINER** HM22/0920 Legal Department SLOBODYANSKY, E Incyte Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3160 Porter Drive Palo Alto CA 94304 1652 DATE MAILED: 09/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Application No.

09/415,540

Applicant(s)

Hawkins et al.

Advisory Action

Examiner

Elizabeth Slobodyansky

Group Art Unit 1652



ТН	E PER	IOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 📋	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) 🔀	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	date oi determ	stension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be atted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
		lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any differ response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 5, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
X	The p	roposed amendment(s):	
	□ w	rill be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	X w	rill not be entered because:	
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	. '
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	-
	NO	TE: <u>claim 19 as amended might require new written description rejection under 112/1 for allelic variants and new 112/2 rejection for distinguishing between a human sequence and a sequence from other sources. It might require additional search in view of the change in the scope of the claim.</u>	_ _ _ .
		pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): ne double patenting rejection of claim 19 in view of TD filed Mar 27, 2000.	_
_	•		-
L		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a rate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X	for al	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: Sew of the AF amndment not being entered, claims 18-22 stand rejected as indicated in the Final Office action of 1/00.	n .
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by xaminer in the final rejection.	,
X	For p	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	•	ns allowed:	-
		as objected to:	_
		ns rejected: 18-22	
		proposed drawing correction filed on has not been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
	Other	E Stobodyaus	ece.
		ELIZABETH SLOBODYANS PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1652	ку